



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/200,791	11/30/1998	THOMAS M. BEHR	018734/0161	9799
75	90 03/03/2003			
FOLEY & LARDNER 3000 K STREET N W			EXAMINER	
WASHINGTON, DC 200075109			HELMS, LARRY RONALD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	0.0
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2003	26

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Y	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/200,791	BEHR ET AL.			
1.	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Larry R. Helms	1642			
The MAILING DATE f this communication appe	The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 14 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>none</u> .					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(sexplanation of how the new or amended claims working).	s) a) $oxtimes$ will not be entered or b)[$oxtimes$ uld be rejected is provided belov	will be entered and an or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: none.					
Claim(s) objected to: none.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,11-21,23-29 and 31-37</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application N . 009/200,791



Continuation of 2. NOTE: new claim 38 recites a cancer therapeutic or diagnostic method and this limitation was never searched.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The response filed 2/14/03 has been carefully cosidured but is deemed not to be persuasive. The response argues that the 899 application has support for peptides and small proteins and cites support in page 2, lines 1-8 (column 1, lines 33-39 of patent). this passage deals with renal uptake in general and has no support for excluding antibodies or fragments of antibodies. In fact column 1, lines 1-15 specifically states that the invention relates to reducing renal uptake of antibodies and fragments of antibodies. Thus, there is no support for excluding antibodies or fragments of antibodies in the 899 application.